Calcium-binding protein S100A4 confers mesenchymal progenitor cell fibrogenicity in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis

Hong Xia, Adam Gilbertsen, Jeremy Herrera, Emilian Racila, Karen Smith, Mark Peterson, Timothy Griffin, Alexey Benyumov, Libang Yang, Peter B. Bitterman, and Craig A. Henke

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a progressive disease with a prevalence of 1 million persons worldwide. The fibrosis spreads from affected alveoli into contiguous alveoli and leads to death by asphyxiation. We previously discovered that the IPF lung harbors fibrogenic mesenchymal progenitor cells (MPCs) that serve as a cell of origin for disease-mediating myofibroblasts. In a prior genomewide transcriptional analysis, we found that IPF MPCs displayed increased expression of S100 calcium-binding A4 (S100A4), a protein linked to cancer cell proliferation and invasiveness. Here, we have examined whether S100A4 mediates MPC fibrogenicity. Ex vivo analysis revealed that IPF MPCs had increased levels of nuclear S100A4, which interacts with L-isoaspartyl methyltransferase to promote p53 degradation and MPC self-renewal. In vivo, injection of human IPF MPCs converted a self-limited bleomycin-induced mouse model of lung fibrosis to a model of persistent fibrosis in an S100A4-dependent manner. S100A4 gain of function was sufficient to confer fibrotic properties to non-IPF MPCs. In IPF tissue, fibroblastic foci contained cells expressing Ki67 and the MPC markers SSEA4 and S100A4. The expression colocalized in an interface region between myofibroblasts in the focus core and normal alveolar structures, defining this region as an active fibrotic front. Our findings indicate that IPF MPCs are intrinsically fibrogenic and that S100A4 confers MPCs with fibrogenicity.

Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a progressive fibrotic lung disease characterized by the proliferation of fibroblasts that deposit collagen within alveolar structures, forming the signature morphologic lesion of IPF — the fibroblastic focus (1–8). IPF progresses in a stereotypic fashion, expanding centrally from the peripheral basilar portions of the lung. Fibroblastic foci are frequently located at the boundary between relatively normal alveolar structures and regions of fibrosis. They are heterogeneous structures that vary greatly in size and shape, ranging from small discrete lesions to large serpiginous structures (9, 10). While the reason for the variation in size and shape are unclear, one possible explanation is that there is temporal growth of fibroblastic foci as the fibrotic lesions evolve due to the spread of fibrosis into adjacent normal alveolar structures. Our prior studies revealed a distinct IPF fibroblast phenotype characterized by aberrant integrin signaling, leading to pathological activation of proliferation and survival pathways (11–13); they also revealed that IPF lung harbors pathologic mesenchymal progenitor cells (MPCs) that are cells of origin for IPF fibroblasts (14). However, the mechanism(s) underlying IPF MPC fibrogenicity and their role in the stereotypic progression of fibrosis are not known.

Gene expression profiling of IPF MPCs distinguished them from MPCs isolated in a similar manner from the lungs of patient controls, with enrichment of genes associated with disease-relevant ontologies (14). Specifically, we found that IPF MPCs express higher levels of S100A4 compared with control MPCs (14). S100A4, a calcium binding protein, is upregulated in cancer stem cells, where it controls proliferation, differentiation, and metastasis (15–22). S100A4 also amplifies TGF-β-induced fibroblast activation in the progressive fibrotic disorder systemic sclerosis (23). S100A4 has nuclear, cytoplasmic, and extracellular functions (24). S100A4 regulates cellular function through its interaction with neighboring cells via damage-associated molecular pattern signaling (21–33). Thus, depending on its location, S100A4 is capable of regulating a number of cellular processes crucial to fibrotic progression. Therefore, we analyzed the role S100A4 might play in regulating IPF MPC fibrogenicity and fibrotic progression.

Results

IPF MPCs display increased S100A4, which localizes to the nucleus. We previously discovered that IPF lung tissue harbors pathologic MPCs that are cells of origin for IPF fibroblasts (14); however, the molecular mechanisms underlying their fibrogenicity remain undefined. To identify molecular processes that might impart IPF fibrotic potential, we examined whether S100A4 mediates MPC fibrogenicity. Ex vivo analysis revealed that IPF MPCs had increased levels of nuclear S100A4, which interacts with L-isoaspartyl methyltransferase to promote p53 degradation and MPC self-renewal. In vivo, injection of human IPF MPCs converted a self-limited bleomycin-induced mouse model of lung fibrosis to a model of persistent fibrosis in an S100A4-dependent manner. S100A4 gain of function was sufficient to confer fibrotic properties to non-IPF MPCs. In IPF tissue, fibroblastic foci contained cells expressing Ki67 and the MPC markers SSEA4 and S100A4. The expression colocalized in an interface region between myofibroblasts in the focus core and normal alveolar structures, defining this region as an active fibrotic front. Our findings indicate that IPF MPCs are intrinsically fibrogenic and that S100A4 confers MPCs with fibrogenicity.
MPCs with their pathological properties, we reexamined our transcriptomic comparison of IFP MPCs and controls. Among the genes more highly expressed in IFP MPCs, we found S100A4 (Figure 1A), also termed metastasin. S100A4 is linked to cancer cell proliferation and invasion (15) and is highly expressed in cancer stem cells, where it is located in the nucleus (16–19). To test the validity of our transcriptional profiling, we quantified S100A4 mRNA and confirmed increased expression in IFP MPCs (Figure 1B). Immunocytochemical analysis of IFP MPCs demonstrated S100A4 in both the nucleus and cytoplasm, with high levels within the nucleus, whereas in control MPCs—S100A4 was predominately located in the cytoplasm (Figure 1C). Immunoblot analysis of nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions confirmed that IFP MPCs contain higher S100A4 protein levels in the nucleus compared with control (Figure 1D and Supplemental Figure 1; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI90832DS1).

Characterization of the IFP MPC S100A4 nuclear interactome. Prior studies have shown that S100A4 interacts with other proteins to regulate cell function (25, 26, 29). To elucidate the mechanism(s) by which nuclear S100A4 might regulate IFP MPC function, we first defined the S100A4 nuclear interactome by isolating the IFP MPC nuclear fraction, followed by I of S100A4 using an anti-S100A4 antibody. The negative control consisted of immunoprecipitation using a nonspecific IgG antibody. The resulting nuclear lysate was subjected to SDS-PAGE, followed by silver staining. Bands were excised and subjected to in-gel digestion by trypsin and protein identification. Peptide sequences were identified by tandem mass spectrometry and sequence database searching, followed by inference of protein identities based on the peptide sequences. Analysis using the Peaks Studio 7.0 build 20140912 (Bioinformatics Solutions) software package revealed S100A4 interaction with proteins known to regulate p53. This included protein-L-isoaspartyl methyltransferase (PIMT, also known as PCMT1) and multiple members of the proteasome complex (Supplemental Table 1).

S100A4 promotes p53 degradation and IFP MPC self-renewal. To determine whether S100A4 regulates p53 expression, we performed gain- and loss-of-function studies. Overexpression of S100A4 in IFP MPCs decreased p53 expression, whereas knockdown of S100A4 by shRNA augmented p53 expression (Figure 2A). Similar results were seen using control MPCs (Supplemental Figure 2A). p53 binds the P21 promoter, increasing p21 expression and, thus, inhibiting cell cycle progression. Therefore, we examined the effect of gain or loss of S100A4 on p21 expression. Consistent with the p53 results, gain of S100A4 decreased p21 levels, whereas loss of S100A4 increased p21 expression (Figure 2A). These data show the importance of S100A4 in control of cell cycle checkpoint regulators in lung MPCs.

Prior work has implicated S100A4 in cancer stem cell self-renewal (15–17). Since S100A4 interacts with proteins regulating cell cycle transit in IFP MPCs, we examined its role on IFP MPC self-renewal in a colony-forming assay. Overexpression of S100A4 increased IFP MPC colony number by 2.3-fold and colony size by 1.6-fold compared with control, whereas knockdown of S100A4 reduced IFP MPC colony number by 89% and colony size by 53% compared with control (Figure 2B). Similarly, S100A4 gain of function in control MPCs augmented colony formation, while S100A4 loss of function diminished control MPC colony formation (Supplemental Figure 2B). Based on our finding that S100A4 loss of function increases p53 and p21 levels, and since high levels of p53 and p21 are associated with cell cycle arrest and senescence, we examined the effect of S100A4 knockdown on β-galactosidase
expression. Knockdown of S100A4 in IPF MPCs led to increased β-galactosidase expression (Supplemental Figure 5). Our data suggest that S100A4 promotes IPF MPC self-renewal by decreasing p53 levels. To analyze the effect of p53 on IPF MPC self-renewal, we overexpressed WT p53 in IPF MPCs. Gain of p53 function increased p53 protein levels and inhibited IPF MPC self-renewal (Figure 2C). We also examined the effect of p53 gain of function on IPF MPC self-renewal in IPF MPCs overexpressing S100A4. p53 gain of function elevated p53 levels, and IPF MPC self-renewal was suppressed, even in the context of excess S100A4 (Figure 2D). These data suggest that high nuclear S100A4 levels function to promote IPF MPC self-renewal and population expansion by lowering p53/p21 levels, enabling the cells to escape cell cycle arrest and senescence.

S100A4 interacts with p53, promoting its degradation by the proteasome (25–28). Since we found that S100A4 complexed with members of the proteasome, we examined whether S100A4 promotes IPF MPC self-renewal by stimulating p53 proteasomal degradation. We treated IPF MPCs with nutlin-3, which disrupts the interaction of p53 with HDM2, the ubiquitin E3 ligase responsible for p53 ubiquitination. Nutlin-3 augmented p53 and p21 expression in IPF MPCs compared with cells treated with vehicle control (Figure 3A). We also examined the effect of the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 on p53 and p21 expression. Similar to the effect of nutlin-3, IPF MPCs treated with MG-132 had higher p53 and p21 protein levels compared with vehicle control (Figure 3B). These data are consistent with the concept that, in IPF MPCs, S100A4 decreases p53 protein expression by promoting its proteasomal degradation.

Our mass spectrometry studies identified PIMT as a member of the S100A4 nuclear interactome. PIMT destabilizes p53 by enhancing p53-HDM2 interaction. PIMT is a methyltransferase, and its effects on p53 stability occur via PIMT-mediated methylation of p53 (34). Immunoprecipitation studies demonstrated that S100A4 exists in a protein complex with PIMT in IPF MPCs, confirming the mass spectrometry results (Figure 3D). To determine whether S100A4 regulates IPF MPC self-renewal via PIMT,
Here, we sought to determine whether IPF MPCs can drive fibrosis, we examined the ability of human IPF MPCs to convert the bleomycin model of fibrosis from a self-limited regressing model to a model of persistent fibrosis. To do this, we first treated mice with low-dose intratracheal bleomycin to establish mice with self-limited lung fibrosis. Two weeks after bleomycin treatment, during the peak time frame of the fibrotic response (36), we injected IPF or control MPCs via the tail vein (Figure 4A). Four weeks following MPC administration, the lungs were removed and analyzed for fibrosis. The lungs of mice receiving IPF MPCs had ~5-fold increased collagen content compared with mice receiving control MPCs (846 ± 151 μg/left lung vs. 173 ± 23 μg/left lung, P < 0.01; Figure 4B). In contrast, the collagen content of bleomycin-treated mice receiving control MPCs did not differ from mice receiving bleomycin only (no cells). Morphological analysis at this time point revealed regions of fibrosis only in mice receiving IPF MPCs (Figure 4, C and D). Importantly, immunohistochemical analysis using an antibody recognizing human procollagen I revealed congruence between regions containing human cells expressing procollagen and regions displaying collagen deposition as determined by Trichrome staining (Figure 4, D and E), proving that the increased fibrosis observed was mediated by human IPF MPCs. Human cells expressing procollagen I formed fibrotic lesions within alveolar walls (Figure 4E, boxed area). Notably, human procollagen I-expressing cells codistributed with S100A4-expressing cells in fibrotic regions (Figure 4, E-F).

Two key features of IFP were observed in the lungs of mice receiving IPF MPCs: i) the presence of peripheral fibrotic lesions containing procollagen I human cells, and ii) associated cystic lesions reminiscent of honeycomb changes (Figure 4, K-M). Cells in these fibrotic regions also expressed S100A4 (Figure 4N and Supplemental Figure 5). Prior work indicates that there is minimal S100A4 expression 4 weeks after low-dose intratracheal bleomycin (37), suggesting that the S100A4 expression observed is likely of human IFP MPC origin. No morphological evidence of fibrosis was observed in mice receiving bleomycin followed by control administration compared with baseline. However, by week 6, collagen content had declined back to the baseline of untreated mice (Figure 4B), and histological analysis of lungs at week 6 showed only scant areas of collagen deposition and were devoid of human cells expressing procollagen I (Supplemental Figure 4).
human lung MPCs (Supplemental Figure 6). These data demonstrate that IPF MPCs convert the bleomycin model of self-limited regressing lung fibrosis to a model of persistent fibrosis.

**S100A4 is necessary and sufficient for MPCs to worsen fibrosis in vivo.** Our in vitro studies indicate a key role for S100A4 in regulating IPF MPC self-renewal, while our in vivo studies demonstrate that IPF MPCs worsen fibrosis. We next sought to determine whether S100A4 was necessary for IPF MPCs to worsen fibrosis. For these experiments, immune-deficient mice were treated with low-dose intratracheal bleomycin. Two weeks following bleomycin administration, IPF MPCs infected with a lentiviral vector containing S100A4 shRNA (scrambled shRNA served as control) were injected via the tail vein. Of note, S100A4 shRNA reduced S100A4 expression in IPF MPCs to below the limits of detection (Figure 2A). Four weeks after cell administration, lungs were harvested and collagen content quantitated. Strikingly, knockdown of S100A4 markedly decreased the ability of IPF MPCs to worsen fibrosis. Knockdown of S100A4 decreased collagen content to the level observed in mice receiving only low-dose bleomycin (Figure 5A). H&E and trichrome staining demonstrated regions of fibrosis in mice receiving IPF MPCs treated with scrambled shRNA (Figure 5, B and C). Procollagen-expressing human cells, as well as S100A4+ cells, were present in the fibrotic areas (Figure 5, D and E). In contrast, H&E and trichrome staining revealed only scant areas of fibrosis and collagen deposition in the lungs of mice receiving IPF MPCs in which S100A4 had been knocked down (Figure 5, F and G). Consistent with this, immunohistochemical analysis revealed few human cells expressing procollagen in the S100A4-knockdown...
mice compared with control (Figure 5H) and reduced S100A4 expression (Figure 5I). Of note, the engraftment of human IPF MPCs treated with S100A4 shRNA or scrambled shRNA in the lungs of the immune-deficient mice was confirmed utilizing a real-time PCR method that has been shown to be sensitive for the detection and quantitation of human cells in mice (Supplemental Figure 7; ref. 38). This indicates that — compared with IPF cells in which S100A4 levels were intact — the reduced number of human IPF cells in the mouse lungs, in which S100A4 had been knocked down, cannot be explained by a lack of engraftment. These data indicate that S100A4 is necessary for IPF MPCs to worsen fibrosis.

We next analyzed the effect of S100A4 gain of function in control MPCs on lung fibrosis in the immune-deficient mice pretreated with intratracheal bleomycin. Overexpression of S100A4 in control MPCs increased collagen content by 52% compared with mice receiving control MPCs treated with empty vector (288 ± 18 μg left lung vs. 190 ± 12 μg left lung, P < 0.002; Supplemental Figure 8A). Histological examination of the lungs revealed intravascular and perivascular fibrotic lesions consisting of human cells expressing procollagen that colocalized with S100A4-expressing cells (Supplemental Figure 8B). The lungs of mice receiving control MPCs treated with empty vector showed minimal fibrosis, did not contain intravascular fibrotic lesions, and were largely devoid of human procollagen–expressing cells and S100A4–expressing cells (Supplemental Figure 8B). These data indicate that overexpression of S100A4 is sufficient to confer control MPCs with fibrotic properties.

The IFP fibroblastic focus is a polarized structure containing SSEA4+ and S100A4+ cells at the periphery of the focus. We previously reported that IFP MPCs, identified by their coexpression of SSEA4 (a marker of stem and progenitor cells) and nuclear OCT4 (a stem cell transcription factor) are found in and around fibroblastic foci in human lung IPF tissue (14). However, as MPCs differentiate, they rapidly lose SSEA4 expression (14), making it difficult to determine the precise number and location of IFP MPCs and their early-generation transit-amplifying daughter cells in fibroblastic foci by IHC using SSEA4 expression as a determinant. Since IFP MPCs highly express S100A4, we sought to define the location of S100A4-expressing cells in IPF fibroblastic foci. Before performing immunohistochemical studies, we defined S100A4 expression as IFP MPCs differentiating in vitro. SSEA4+ colony–forming IFP MPCs displayed a gradual reduction in S100A4 expression when cultured on tissue culture plastic dishes coated with type I collagen (Supplemental Figure 9). As they differentiated, they acquired the typical morphologic appearance of myofibroblasts, including α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) in stress fibers.

We analyzed the location of S100A4+ cells in IPF fibroblastic foci in lung pathological specimens from IPF patients (n = 10) and patient controls (n = 3). We examined fibroblastic foci at the advancing front of fibrosis, where it begins to invade into regions of normal-appearing alveolar structures. We reasoned that, if IPF MPCs are involved in fibrotic progression, they would be found in developing fibrotic lesions as opposed to mature areas of fibrosis, since they rapidly differentiate to myofibroblasts. The data revealed a striking concentration of S100A4-immunoreactive cells within fibroblastic foci (Figure 6A and Supplemental Figure 10A) primarily localizing to the periphery of the focus, indicating that the fibroblastic focus is a polarized structure. Consistent with our in vitro studies demonstrating S100A4 in a nuclear and cytoplasmic location in IPF MPCs, individual cells with a stromal-like morphology could be identified in these regions expressing S100A4 both in the nucleus and cytoplasm (Figure 6B). Scant S100A4 expression was seen in normal alveolar structures in patient control lung tissue specimens (Supplemental Figure 10B). We next compared the location of S100A4+ cells with α-SMA+ cells within the fibroblastic foci. To do this, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of fibroblastic foci double staining for S100A4 and α-SMA. Our analysis revealed α-SMA+ myofibroblasts in the focus core, with S100A4+ expressing cells found in an interface region between the focus core and relatively uninvolved contiguous alveolar structures (Figure 6C). Consistent with this, serially sectioned fibroblastic foci revealed α-SMA+ myofibroblasts expressing procollagen in the focus core (Figure 6D).
Figure 6. The IPF fibroblastic focus is a polarized structure. IHC was performed on human IPF lung tissue (n = 10). (A) IHC showing distribution of S100A4 expression in fibroblastic foci. Scale bar: 200 μm. (B) Higher-power image of boxed region in A. Scale bar: 20 μm. (C) Double stain for S100A4 (red) and α-SMA (brown) defining the distribution of S100A4- and α-SMA-expressing cells in the fibroblastic focus. Asterisk, myofibroblast core. Scale bar: 50 μm. (D–W) Serial 4-μm sections of 2 representative fibroblastic foci. (D–F and M–O) H&E (D and M), procollagen (E and N), and α-SMA (F and O) staining of the focus. Scale bar: 100 μm. Outline in E, F, N, and O delineate the focus core. (G–L and P–U) IHC for S100A4 (G, H, P, and Q), SSEA4 (I, J, R, and S), and Ki67 (K, L, T, and U). Scale bar: 100 μm. (H, J, L, Q, S, and U) Higher-power images of boxed regions in G, I, K, P, R, and T. Scale bar: 20 μm. (V and W) Low- and high-power images showing IHC for SSEA4 (brown) overlaid with immunofluorescence for S100A4 (red). Nuclei are stained with DAPI. Scale bar: 100 μm (V) and 20 μm (W). (X) Double stain for S100A4 (red) and Ki67 (nuclear brown signal). Scale bar: 100 μm. (Y) Higher-power image of boxed region in X. Arrowheads denote individual cells positive for both S100A4 and Ki67. Scale bar: 20 μm. (Z and AA) IHC staining of fibroblastic foci for S100A4 (red). Z is a higher power image of C. Arrow denotes thickened alveolar walls. Arrowhead denotes normal thin alveolar walls. Scale bar: 20 μm (Z) and 50 μm (AA). (BB) Higher-power image of A depicting the active fibrotic front and myofibroblast core of the polarized fibroblastic focus (100×).
neighboring cells. While important, these studies are beyond the scope of the current body of work.

S100A4 has also been termed metastasin because it regulates cancer cell metastasis (15–22). While S100A4 levels are elevated in both cancer cells and IPF cells, IPF cells do not metastasize, suggesting that there are important differences between cancer and fibrosis in relation to S100A4 function. Metastasis is a complicated biological process involving cellular transformation, angiogenesis, motility and invasion, transport to distant sites, and complicated tumor-host relationships (45). Thus, while S100A4 can mediate processes involved in cancer metastasis, such as motility/invasion, there are other molecular processes required for a cancer cell to be able to metastasize that do not involve S100A4. Furthermore, S100A4 functions by interacting with other proteins. It is likely that the cancer cell S100A4 interactome is different than the IPF cell S100A4 interactome, resulting in S100A4 mediating different functions. Thus, in cancer cells, S100A4 in concert with other molecular processes facilitates metastasis, while in IPF, high levels of S100A4 by itself does not confer a metastatic phenotype.

The lack of therapies that stop IPF fibrotic progression stems in part from the absence of in vitro or in vivo models that recapitulates the stereotypical anatomy of IPF fibrotic progression (46). Our existing models create self-limited lung fibrosis but are not progressive and do not recapitulate the development of multifocal heterogeneous fibroblastic foci, which is the diagnostic feature of IPF (9, 10). We found that human IPF MPCs can convert the self-limited bleomycin mouse model of lung fibrosis to a model of persistent fibrosis in an S100A4-dependent manner. We have also found that overexpression of S100A4 confers control MPCs with fibrogenic properties. However, it is important to note that there were differences in the fibrotic response of mice pretreated with bleomycin that received IPF MPCs and those that received control MPCs overexpressing S100A4. The collagen content was lower in the lungs of mice receiving control MPCs overexpressing S100A4 compared with the lungs of mice receiving unaltered IPF MPCs (288 ± 18 μg/left lung vs. 846 ± 151 μg/left lung), and the histology of the lungs of mice receiving control MPCs overexpressing S100A4 did not show the extensive intraparenchymal fibrotic lesions infiltrated with procollagen-expressing human cells and S100A4-expressing cells that were common in the lungs of mice receiving IPF MPCs. This suggests that IPF MPCs are more fibrogenic than control MPCs overexpressing S100A4. In our prior publication (14), our genomewide transcriptomic analysis revealed multiple gene-expression differences between control and IPF MPCs. This result suggests that, in addition to alterations in S100A4 expression, other gene-expression differences are likely responsible for conferring IPF MPCs with their entire pathologic phenotype. Together, our results indicate that S100A4 is necessary to confer IPF MPCs with fibrogenicity and sufficient to confer control MPCs with fibrotic properties. This represents a major advance in the field, as it is the first mammalian xenograft model of persistent lung fibrosis using human IPF lung MPCs. In this model, the increased fibrosis results from expansion of fibrogenic human mesenchymal cells within the mouse lung and their subsequent deposition of human ECM. Our results have several major implications. First, S100A4 antagonism, which is being exploited for cancer treatment, can be considered a promising approach to disrupt IPF MPC function and arrest IPF fibrotic progression. Second, the incorporation of human IPF MPCs into the bleomycin mouse model creates a model of persistent fibrosis that can be employed as a personalized mouse model, where implantation of patient MPCs in mice can be tested in drug-efficacy studies.

IPF progresses in a stereotypic manner. The disease begins in the peripheral basilar portions of the lung. As IPF progresses, the fibrosis expands centrally. However, the mechanisms that underlie this nonrandom progression of fibrosis in IPF have remained elusive. Recent work defining the morphologic structure of fibroblastic foci indicates that they are heterogeneous structures that vary greatly in size, raising the possibility that there is temporal growth of fibroblastic foci as the fibrotic lesions evolve by spread of fibrosis into adjacent normal alveolar structures (10). Our immunohistochemical studies examining fibroblastic foci support this concept and may provide a cogent explanation for this stereotypic progression of fibrosis. We demonstrate that fibroblastic foci, located at the advancing front of fibrosis, are polarized structures with α-SMA+ myofibroblasts in the focus core and SSEA4+/S100A4+ MPCs and SSEA4-/S100A4+ expressing cells located in the focus periphery at the interface between the myofibroblastic core and adjacent intact alveolar structures. S100A4+ expressing cells populating this interface are proliferating (Ki67+), suggesting that this region represents an active fibrotic front. Importantly, we found that S100A4+ cells are present in thickened alveolar walls juxtaposed between the active cellular front of the focus and morphologically intact alveolar walls, consistent with the idea that S100A4+ expressing cells spread the disease into immediate adjacent uninjured alveolar structures. Our IHC studies show that α-SMA+ myofibroblasts in the focus core lack S100A4 expression. Our in vitro data indicate that, as IPF MPCs differentiate to myofibroblasts, S100A4 expression decreases. This suggests that, as the fibrotic front advances, the cells behind the active front, which were formerly IPF MPCs, differentiate to α-SMA+ S100A4 myofibroblasts that constitute the focus core. These data support the concept that the IPF fibroblastic focus is a polarized structure consisting of an active fibrotic front containing MPCs (SSEA4+/S100A4+) and early-generation MPC-derived transit amplifying daughter cells (SSEA4-/S100A4+/Ki67+) and a core composed of myofibroblasts (α-SMA+/procollagen-; Figure 6 BB).

The presence of fibrogenic MPCs, fibroblasts with a durable fibrogenic phenotype, and an ECM that suppresses expression of antifibrotic microRNAs are key elements of IPF fibrotic progression that are not accounted for in existing models of lung fibrosis. On the basis of our published and current data, we propose a paradigm that incorporates these missing elements and offers a cogent explanation for the stereotypic progression of fibrosis in IPF. The fibroblastic focus can be conceptualized as a fibrogenic niche that harbors and nurtures IPF MPCs and their progeny. The focus is a polarized structure with an active fibrotic front containing self-renewing MPCs and a transit-amplifying population of MPC progeny residing in an ECM that supports their pathological properties. The fibrotic front infiltrates into adjacent normal alveolar walls coursing in an axial distribution to mediate fibrotic lung destruction. We suggest that targeting S100A4-expressing IPF MPCs, the actual cells comprising the active fibrotic front of the fibroblastic focus, may be an effective therapeutic strategy to arrest IPF fibrotic progression.
Methods
Primary mesenchymal cell lines. Seven primary lung mesenchymal cell lines were established from patients fulfilling diagnostic criteria for IPF, including a pathological diagnosis of usual interstitial pneumonia (47). Patient controls were selected to be similar in age to IPF patients with nonfibrotic lung disorders. Based on these criteria, we utilized 7 nonfibrotic primary control fibroblast lines from lung tissue uninvolved by the primary disease process: adenocarcinoma (n = 3), squamous cell carcinoma (n = 3), or histologically normal lung tissue from a gunshot victim (n = 1). Cell lines were derived from lungs, characterized as mesenchymal cells, and cultivated as previously described (14).

Isolation of MPCs. IPF and control MPCs were isolated from primary IPF and control mesenchymal cell cultures at passage 0 (initial isolate before subcultivation) through passage 4. To isolate progenitors, primary IPF and control mesenchymal cells were labeled with mouse anti-human SSEA4 antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (clone MC-813-70, catalog 560796, BD Biosciences) and mouse anti-human SSEA1 conjugated to PE (clone MC-480, catalog 560142, BD Biosciences) as previously described (14). Cells were sorted on a FACSAria Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences). Cells that were SSEA4+ and SSEA1- (relative to mouse IgG3 x isotype control conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 and mouse IgM x isotype control conjugated to PE, respectively; clone J606, catalog 560803; clone G155-228, catalog 555584; BD Biosciences) and >12 μm were collected. To generate sufficient numbers of MPCs for the in vivo mouse studies, the SSEA4+ MPCs were expanded by culture in DMEM + 10% FCS for 7 days prior to use.

Validity testing of S100A4 gene expression was conducted by quantitative PCR (qPCR) as previously described (14). Total RNA was isolated and reverse transcribed using mouse anti-human SSEA4 antibody conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (clone MC-813-70, catalog 560796, BD Biosciences) and mouse anti-human SSEA1 conjugated to PE (clone MC-480, catalog 560142, BD Biosciences) and <12 μm were collected. To generate sufficient numbers of MPCs for the in vivo mouse studies, the SSEA4+ MPCs were expanded by culture in DMEM + 10% FCS for 7 days prior to use. The resulting MPC cultures were analyzed for SSEA4 expression by FACs analysis and for colony formation in vitro. Day 7 MPCs (97%) were SSEA4+, and the cells formed colonies in methylcellulose, indicating retention of progenitor self-renewal properties.

Quantitative PCR. Validity testing of S100A4 gene expression was conducted by quantitative PCR (qPCR) as previously described (14). Total RNA was isolated and reverse transcribed using a Taqman Reverse Transcriptase Reagent Kit (Roche Diagnostics) and primed with random hexamers. Primer sequences were selected using NCBI Primer-BLAST. qPCR was performed using a LightCycler FastStart DNA MasterPLUS SYBR Green 1 Kit (Roche Diagnostics). Primer sequences were as follows: S100A4 forward, 5’ GTACGTGTTGATCCTGACTGCTGTCATGG 3’; S100A4 reverse, 5’ TCATTCTTCCTGGGCTGTTATGTGGG 3’. Samples were quantified at the log-linear portion of the curve using LightCycler analysis software and compared with an external calibration standard curve.

Self-renewal assay. Single cell suspensions of SSEA4+ IPF MPCs were incorporated into methylcellulose gel (STEMCELL Technologies) and maintained in MSC SFM CTS (Thermo Scientific/Gibco; 37°C, 5% CO2; 1 week). Enumeration of colonies was performed microscopically.

Plasmids/constructs. For gain of S100A4 function, we overexpressed S100A4 using a WT S100A4 construct (S100A4-GFP–tagged construct RG203035, Origene) in a lentiviral vector. Empty vector served as a control. For loss of S100A4 function, S100A4 was knocked down using S100A4 shRNA (pGIPZ/S100A4 shRNA, Addgene). Scrambled shRNA served as a control. For gain of p53 function, we overexpressed p53 using a WT p53 construct in an adenoviral vector (Ad-p53-GFP, catalog 1260, Vector Biolabs). Empty vector served as a control. For loss of PIMT function, PIMT was knocked down using PIMT shRNA (catalog sc-45875, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Scrambled shRNA served as a control.

Mass spectrometry. Nuclear fractions of IPF MPCs were isolated by NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction reagents (Thermo Scientific). S100A4 was immunoprecipitated from the resulting nuclear lysates using an S100A4 antibody (catalog sc-377059, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.). Control consisted of immunoprecipitation using an isotype control antibody. The immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS-PAGE followed by silver staining (Pierce Silver Stain Kit, catalog 24612, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Bands were excised, destained, and subjected to in-gel trypsin digestion. Peptide samples were resuspended in 98:2 water/acetone-tritile, 0.1% formic acid and run on an Orbitrap Velos (Thermo Fisher Scientific) mass spectrometer. The Thermo RAW files were analyzed with Peaks Studio 7.0 build 20140912 (Bioinformatics Solutions) software package for interpretation of tandem mass spectometry and protein inference.

Western blot and immunoprecipitation. Blots were performed as previously described (11–13). For immunoprecipitation, nuclear fractions were isolated by NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction reagents. The samples were centrifuged at 120,000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C, and the lysates were precleared for 1 hour at 4°C with protein A/G beads and immunoprecipitated for 2 hours at 4°C with the appropriate primary antibody. The samples were processed for Western blot analysis.

IHC. IHC was performed on 4-μm, paraffin-embedded, serial-sectioned IPF and control lung tissue and mounted on polylysine-coated slides. The sections were deparaffinized in xylene, rehydrated through a graded methanol series, quenched with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide in methanol, and immersed in a 98°C water bath for 30 minutes in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for antigen retrieval. Sections were placed in 5% Normal Horse Serum (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) to block nonspecific binding of secondary antibodies. A multiplex IHC kit was used for antigen detection according to the manufacturer’s instructions (MULTIVIEW IHC Kit, product no. ADI-950-101-0001, Enzo Life Sciences). The tissue specimens were incubated overnight (18–20 hours, 4°C) in the monoclonal human primary antibodies; α-SMA (1:2,500, catalog A2547, Sigma-Aldrich), S100A4 (1:2,000, catalog sc-377059, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.), and Ki67 (1:400, catalog MA5-14520, Thermo Scientific). S100A4 IHC was performed using mouse anti-human S100A4 antibody (1:100, clone MC-813-70, catalog 330402, BioLegend). Sections were counterstained with Hematoxylin (In vitracon) for 2 minutes, and PBS was applied for 30 minutes. Sections were cover-slipped with a Prolong Antifade Kit (Invitrogen, Molecular Probes) and stored overnight at room temperature without light before image analysis.

Mouse model of persistent fibrosis. To assess the role of IPF MPCs in fibrotic progression, we utilized the bleomycin model of lung fibrosis to determine whether IPF MPCs could convert the bleomycin model from a self-limited regressing model to a model of persistent lung fibrosis. NOD/SCID/IL2rγ−(NSG) male and female mice (Jackson Laboratories), average 10 weeks of age, were administered intratracheal bleomycin (1.25 U/kg) to establish self-limited lung fibrosis. Mice treated with intratracheal saline were used as controls. The lungs were harvested 6 weeks after intratracheal bleomycin (or saline) administration. To test whether IPF MPCs can drive fibrotic progression, 2 weeks following bleomycin administration, IPF or control MPCs were suspended in PBS (1 × 106 cells/100 μl) and injected into the mice via the tail vein following our published protocol (14). Mice were euthanized 4 weeks after adoptive transfer of human cells. For the S100A4–knockdown studies, mice were treated with intratracheal bleomycin. Two weeks later, IPF MPCs infected with a lentiviral vector containing S100A4 shRNA were admin-
istered by tail vein injection. IPF MPCs containing scrambled shRNA served as controls. Engraftment of human IPF MPCs treated with S100A4 shRNA and scrambled shRNA in the immune-deficient mice were confirmed using a previously published real-time PCR protocol that has been shown to be sensitive for the detection and quantitation of human cells in mice (37). The lungs were harvested 4 weeks after cell administration. Collagen content was quantified in the left-lung tissue by Sircol assay and served as the primary endpoint (48). Histological (H&E and trichrome staining) and immunohistochemical analyses were performed on paraffin-embedded and frozen right-lung tissue. Cells positive for human procollagen (anti-human procollagen type I antibody, 1:500, catalog ab64409; Abcam) were identified as human. The presence of lung fibrotic lesions by histological analysis served as the secondary endpoint. IHC using an S100A4 antibody was performed to assess the distribution of S100A4-expressing cells with human procollagen I-expressing cells.

RNA sequencing data. We used previously generated RNA sequencing data (14). The complete datasets and protocols are deposited in data repository Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO; accession number GSE97038).

Statistics. Comparisons of data among experiments were performed using the 2-sided Student’s t test. Experiments were independently replicated a minimum of 3 times. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. P < 0.05 was considered significant. Study approval. Deidentified patient samples were obtained under a waiver of informed consent from the University of Minnesota IRB. Animal protocols were approved and conducted in accordance with the University of Minnesota Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee regulations.
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